

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 24/03/2026 10:05 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Manor Road Sole Street Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to the proposed development due to its harmful impact on the Green Belt, local infrastructure, and the character of the surrounding villages. The site is valuable Green Belt land supporting larks, birds of prey, and other wildlife. Development would permanently destroy these habitats and undermine the purpose of the Green Belt by merging Meopham and Sole Street into one continuous urban area. Local infrastructure cannot support a development of this scale. Doctors' surgeries have confirmed they are at capacity, and the water authority has stated it cannot supply large parts of the proposed Kent developments, including this one. The rural road network already experiences congestion and flooding, particularly on Norwood Lane and the A227. Adding over a thousand additional cars and school traffic would create severe safety risks and further congestion. The fields in this area play a crucial role in absorbing rainwater. They already flood, and building on them would increase surface water flooding and reduce essential agricultural land needed for food security. Overall, the proposal is unsustainable, contrary to Green Belt policy, and would cause irreversible harm to the local environment, village identity, and infrastructure.
-----------	--

Kind regards

