

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 24/03/2026 11:15 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Meopham Green Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I am objecting to the outline Application for the following reasons:- Green Belt 1. The proposed site is currently GREEN BELT, Grade 2 Agricultural farmland and has several biodiverse woodland copses' including Blundells Shaw with significant amounts of wildlife. Barn owls, swifts, bats, badgers and different birds of prey use the area which is next door to Camer Park and Kent Downs National Landscape and the Conservation area of Hook Green. Nothing the developers will do will alleviate the potential damage due to increased air borne and light pollution caused by the development of 725 dwellings and a new two form entry primary school. 2. The proposed site is not currently included in Gravesham's draft Regulation 19 Local Plan. 3. A brownfield-first approach should be implemented. 4. The proposal has the potential to raise current population of Meopham by approximately one third. 5. The proposed housing development does not comply with nationally recognised walking distance standards for essential services. Access 6. The Developer is proposing dangerous access for the 725 houses and primary school, for potentially 1450 cars plus delivery and service vehicles onto a tiny rural lane.
-----------	---

7. The developers are proposing to close the southern end of Norwood Lane and allow access exclusively for buses entering the development. This is particularly relevant to all residents of Norwood Lane, Nursery Road, Ediva Road, Edmund Close, and the occupiers and workers of the units in the Railway Sidings. The proposed closure of the southern end of Norwood Lane will leave the existing approximately 275 homes, and a number of industrial facilities, with no secondary access should the northern end of Norwood Lane be closed due to an accident, fire, or repair to the road or services, or even a large delivery. In the recent past, such closures have not been uncommon, and the loss of an alternative access would temporarily leave residents and workers with no way in or out, and no access for the emergency services.
8. Restricting access to Norwood Lane at the northern junction with the A227 will also increase traffic and congestion in this area.

Infrastructure

9. Meopham and Istead Rise GP practices are both at full capacity.
10. The current bus service is inadequate and unreliable.
11. Development will significantly raise water demand in Meopham which already operates within a seriously water stressed part of Kent.
12. There is NO need of another primary school as the Culverstone Primary school is currently undersubscribed (207 current pupils/ room for 420 pupils) The proposed two-form primary school with capacity for 420 pupils is badly situated and would further increase severe peak time traffic congestion during drop-off and pick-up times.
13. Emergency vehicles to houses in Norwood Lane would be impeded.
14. Local sideroads cannot currently support diversions when required.
15. Flood mitigation- the open fields currently struggle to capture water to prevent flooding. This will only increase the flooding of local roads.
-

Kind regards