

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/03/2026 12:36 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] NORWOOD LANE MEOPHAM

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	PLANNING REFERENCE:20260207

Subject: Objection to Proposed Development of 725 New Houses

I wish to formally object to the proposed development of 725 new houses in this area.

While I recognise the need for housing, this proposal raises serious concerns about infrastructure capacity, transport, and accessibility that appear not to have been adequately addressed or noted

1. Inadequate Public Transport

Local bus services are already unreliable and infrequent. Residents cannot reasonably rely on them for commuting or daily travel. Increasing the population by a development of this size will place further strain on an already insufficient public transport network.

2. Unsuitable Rail Connectivity

The nearby railway line does not provide convenient access to London Charing Cross, which is the primary commuting destination for many people in the area. As a result, a large proportion of residents would be likely to rely on private

vehicles rather than public transport to access the Charing Cross line from Gravesend. The local line accessing London Victoria from nearby Sole Street station.

3. Pressure on Local Healthcare Services

Access to GP appointments is already extremely limited, with average non urgent waiting times currently around three to four weeks and more immediate queries 1-2 days. Despite the scale of this development, there appears to be no provision or commitment for a new medical surgery or expansion of existing healthcare services/facilities to support the additional population.

4. Significant Increase in Traffic

A development of 725 houses could reasonably be expected to generate at least 1,450 additional cars (assuming a minimum of two vehicles per household). This would create a substantial increase in traffic on one attached road (which already has a problem with larger cars having to give way at the narrow part where it joins the A227 "T" junction) and one attached lane, which are not designed to accommodate such volumes.

5. Poor Access and Site Constraints

The proposed site appears to have poor general access from the two sides available and is constrained by existing mainline railway stopping all access from that side (NNE) and including a public footpath running through the middle of the site. These features raise concerns regarding safe access, traffic flow, and the practical layout of the development being so limited in options

Conclusion:

Taken together, these issues indicate that the proposal does not adequately address the pressures it would place on local infrastructure, transport, and healthcare services. Without significant improvements and clear mitigation measures, this development risks placing an unsustainable burden on the existing community.

For these reasons, I strongly urge the planning authority to refuse this application.



Kind regards