

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/03/2026 3:19 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Part Of White Post Farm White Post Lane Cobham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Change of use of land to form a Gypsy/Traveller site comprising the siting of 2 mobile homes, 1 touring caravan, and 1 dayroom cr cr
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] White Post Lane Cobham Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Dear Sirs,

Further to this Planning Application I find it totally inappropriate for additional development on this Green Belt site.

The previous Appeal found there were personal circumstances for the "one" family positioned on a relatively hidden area, offering the least detrimental effect on this area.

The new application is completely different in nature, easily viewed from White Post Lane and severely affecting the openness and character especially the new extra positions, the use of High close boarded fencing, and out of keeping gates, for which I am unaware there is planning consent.

There is yet more "intentional unauthorised development" [Appeal document term] with continuing damage to the surrounding properties and lane, please see Google Maps 2009/2016 as evidence.

If the applicants felt these additional mobiles were needed surely they should have been requested in the original application/Appeal.

Being yet another application on this area now encompassing a total of over 20 caravans and mobiles surely this is overwhelming the small local Hamlet/village of Sole Street. After many years of complete disregard to the established local

homeowners, amenities, as well as the Local Authority, again this is inappropriate and unbalanced.

All actions to date, especially since 2020, have aimed at destroying an open, unquestionably Green Belt area, then applying for Retrospective Planning. Please again refer to available Google Map pictures of 2009 and 2016 showing this area before any works were undertaken, especially from the new entrances recently constructed.

The pictures show a land area in keeping with similar adjacent land and fields. This is no longer the case with high walling, fencing and gates, concrete standings with numerous buildings and mobiles covering the area. Surely this constitutes serious and conscious inappropriate development affecting the openness and landscape character with complete persistent disregard to the Authorities notifications and the known existing Injunction over the area. As well as offering nothing towards sustainability or regarding improvement to this area.

The lane is narrow and regularly blocked with the added potential danger of obstructing the natural route of emergency vehicles (please see average age survey of Cobham/Sole Street). There is a dramatic increase in speeding large and commercial vehicles especially when approaching the inhabited housing end of White Post Lane where several children live in housing with small open gardens leading directly onto this previously fairly tranquil lane.

In addition, at this point there are 3 footpaths emerging onto the Lane, some part of the popular 'Weald Way' and in regular use and another by Nurstead all having to use the Lane as part of the footpath. These are also regularly used by Gravesend school children while on organised expedition trips. The Lane is constantly used by Ramblers, cyclists, many horse riders, there are many areas with dangerous bends or reduced vision.

In recent months there have been numerous reports of frightened stray cats and dogs on the road adjacent to this field in addition to continuous barking Day and Night.

I find it incredible, the damage sustained to this area, especially over the more recent 5 years all caused by the applicants from the stated Gypsie and Traveler group that appear to be able to destroy areas, and others land at will, while not showing any interest in integration into the local village life.

For this most recent application 20251125, and now 20260180, 20260214 where there is a suggestion of the wrong area having been given permission "by mistake" I would like you to note the "Still incorrect Plan Drawings" showing different areas (and duplicated) in the same applications and different entrances. I would ask as a minimum the previous decision be reversed before any further applications are considered as this area is obviously not required. (I notice this is not the case on this application). Or the Applicant is asked to remove all Mobiles from the current area being used into the area previously applied for with the appropriate restoration work. Then possibly make a completely new application if still thinking of requiring the additional area. There appear to have been too many mistakes previously and total confusion as to the area in the application. This despite my reporting this issue prior to decision to Gravesham Planning.

I would also ask for all previous Comments, submitted by way of the too numerous individual applications but affecting the whole larger area as per the original Injunction and earlier Appeal APP/K2230/W/20/3271514 (Application 20200554) showing the total area owned and not the individual plots.

Kind regards

