

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 04/08/2024 11:20 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: 73 - 75 West Street Gravesend Kent DA11 0BS

Proposal: Erection of a second-floor extension above existing offices to provide; 1 single occupancy, 1 bedroom flat and 1 double occupancy, 1 bedroom flat. Additional works to include erection of a cycle and bin store.

Case Officer: Mrs Lisa Fisher

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED] Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I am the [REDACTED]

I strongly object to this application and the construction of a third storey on the building at 73 - 75 West Street Gravesend Kent DA11 0BS as it will have a serious impact upon privacy. Construction of this extension with the windows at the back of the building will enable occupants of the extension to look straight down into the bedroom of [REDACTED] and will also impact upon the light reaching the bedroom window. The bedroom will be cast into darkness especially in the winter. It will also block the light reaching the lounge and restrict the view of the historic Church and Gravesend.

The submitted drawings do not appear to represent the existing rear elevation. The current windows on the application are not shown correctly. Is this an error in the drawings? This 'oversight' has a serious impact on the application as the it is likely to lead to the planning officers being misled by the application.

The existing rear elevation has windows and the drawings submitted bear no resemblance to reality. Why are the existing windows not shown on the plans submitted in in the application documents called 'Elevations - Existing'? These windows are missing from the drawings 'Elevations - Proposed. If the drawings are incorrect then the application should be refused as it will be impossible for the council to reach a fair decision if they are not in possession of all the facts.

There are in fact windows on the side of the rear offices which are frosted glass. I assume that they are toilets as you can still see the plumbing outside.

This 'change of use' and construction of rear windows would mean that occupiers of the new extension on the building will be approximately 20 feet of existing residential properties. This will have a detrimental impact on multiple occupants of Marriotts Wharf.

This application should be rejected. Due to some 'oversight' in the application the drawings do appear to fully represent either the current situation or intended plan for the building. It will also enable the future residents of the extension to look down into the bedrooms and lounge of numerous properties in Marriotts Wharf. The extension will block out the light to my flat and numerous over flats in Marriotts Wharf. It will also spoil the resident's view of the Church and historic Gravesend.

This application should be rejected because the drawings appear to be inaccurate, and it will be impossible for the council to reach a fair decision if they are not in possession of all the facts.

Kind regards