

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/12/2025 6:10 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To Longfield Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0EW
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 120 residential dwellings, public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Longfield Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to this proposal due to its direct impact on school safety, traffic congestion, air quality, wildlife (particularly badgers), drainage, and the cumulative burden placed on the village. 1. Traffic and Road Safety Next to Two Schools This site is located adjacent to/near two schools, where traffic is already extremely heavy at drop-off and pick-up times. Adding 120 homes will significantly worsen: vehicle congestion air pollution exposure for children safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists emergency access capability This conflicts with NPPF para 111, which states that development should be refused if the residual cumulative impacts on the road network are severe. 2. Drainage and Flooding As surrounding area floods frequently, additional development will greatly worsen waterlogging and surface run-off around the schools and nearby homes. 3. Badger Set and Biodiversity This site contains a known badger sett, and the wider area is rich with badger activity. Badgers and their setts are legally protected. Any disturbance, displacement, or sett closure must be strictly regulated, and no convincing
-----------	---

ecological mitigation has been provided.

The wider impact of three simultaneous developments on wildlife corridors is completely overlooked.

4. Overcapacity of Local Services

Schools are already at or near capacity. GP services and Darent Valley Hospital are under strain. This development offers no solutions.

5. Cumulative Harm

This application cannot be considered in isolation. With 350 + 150 homes also proposed locally, the total additional dwellings reach 620, an enormous burden on the village of Meopham.

Conclusion

Due to road safety concerns near schools, increased pollution, drainage failures, ecological harm and excessive cumulative impact, this application should be refused.

Kind regards